

Evaluation Grid _ Full Application/Proposal

| Section in Full Application Package | Review Questions | Maximum Score |
|--|--|---------------|
| | 1. Financial and operational capacity | 10 |
| Sections 3.1 and 3.2 | 1.1 Does the Applicants and, if applicable, their Partner (s) have sufficient and comparable project management experience? | 5 |
| Sections 3.1 and 3.2 | 1.2 Does the Applicant and, if applicable, their Partner (s) have sufficient and related technical expertise? (especially knowledge of the issues to be addressed) | 5 |
| | 2. Relevance and Logic of the Project | 30 |
| Section 1.1 parts 1 & 2 | 2.1 Are the relevance to regional and national contexts well explained? | 5 |
| Section 1.1 part 5 | 2.2 Are the impacts anticipated on the target groups and final beneficiaries well explained? | 5 |
| Section 1.3 | 2.3 Does the applicant identify and explain well the ecosystem services it considers most strategic for adaptation? | 5 |
| Section 1.1 part 3 (also part 4) and Annex II | 2.4 Are climate change adaptation results clear? | 5*2 |
| Annex II | 2.5 Does the proposal contain objectively verifiable indicators for the outcome(s) and output (s) | 5 |
| | 3. Effectiveness and feasibility of the Project | 30 |
| Sections 1.2,1.1 part 4 and Annex I | 3.1 Are the activities proposed appropriate, practical, and consistent with the objectives and expected results? | 5*2 |
| Annex I, Section 1.5 | 3.2 Is the implementation plan clear and feasible? | 5 |
| Sections 1.4, 1.5, and Annex I | 3.3 Is the proposal balanced and clear regarding the deployment (and alignment to specific Outputs) of existing human resources and external resources? | 5 |
| Section 1.2 and Sections 4 | 3.4 Is the Partner (s) level of involvement and participation in the project satisfactory and relevant (if applicable)? | 5 |
| Section 1.6 | 3.5 Are project risks properly identified and described,, realistic, and supported by appropriate responses? | 5 |
| | 4. Sustainability of the Project | 15 |

| Section in Full Application Package | Review Questions | Maximum Score |
|--|--|---------------|
| Sections 2 and 1.1 parts 4 & 5 | 4.1 Is the project likely to have a tangible and lasting impact on its target groups and final beneficiaries? | 5 |
| Section 2 | 4.2 Is the proposal likely to have multiplier effects? (Including scope for replication, extension and information sharing.) | 5 |
| Section 2 | <p>4.3 Are the expected results and investments of the proposed project sustainable?:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - financially (<i>how will the activities be financed after the funding ends?</i>) - institutionally (<i>will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the project? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the project?</i>) - at policy level (where applicable) (<i>what will be the structural impact of the project - e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?</i>) - environmentally (if applicable) (<i>will the project have a negative/positive environmental impact?</i>) | 5 |
| 5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the Project | | 15 |
| Annex III, Annex I, Annex II | 5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? | 5 |
| Annex III, Section 5, Annex II | 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory? | 5 |
| Annex III, Section 5 | 5.3 Does the counterpart funding meet minimum requirements (25%)? Is it clearly stipulated? Does it cover staff, equipment, administrative and office costs sufficiently? | 5 |
| Maximum total score | | 100 |