

## Evaluation Grid \_ Full Application/Proposal

Section in Full Application Package	Review Questions	Maximum Score
	<b>1. Financial and operational capacity</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Sections 3.1 and 3.2</b>	1.1 Does the Applicants and, if applicable, their Partner (s) have sufficient and comparable project management experience?	5
<b>Sections 3.1 and 3.2</b>	1.2 Does the Applicant and, if applicable, their Partner (s) have sufficient and related technical expertise? (especially knowledge of the issues to be addressed)	5
	<b>2. Relevance and Logic of the Project</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Section 1.1 parts 1 &amp; 2</b>	2.1 Are the relevance to regional and national contexts well explained?	5
<b>Section 1.1 part 5</b>	2.2 Are the impacts anticipated on the target groups and final beneficiaries well explained?	5
<b>Section 1.3</b>	2.3 Does the applicant identify and explain well the ecosystem services it considers most strategic for adaptation?	5
<b>Section 1.1 part 3 (also part 4) and Annex II</b>	2.4 Are climate change adaptation results clear?	5*2
<b>Annex II</b>	2.5 Does the proposal contain objectively verifiable indicators for the outcome(s) and output (s)	5
	<b>3. Effectiveness and feasibility of the Project</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Sections 1.2,1.1 part 4 and Annex I</b>	3.1 Are the activities proposed appropriate, practical, and consistent with the objectives and expected results?	5*2
<b>Annex I, Section 1.5</b>	3.2 Is the implementation plan clear and feasible?	5
<b>Sections 1.4, 1.5, and Annex I</b>	3.3 Is the proposal balanced and clear regarding the deployment (and alignment to specific Outputs) of existing human resources and external resources?	5
<b>Section 1.2 and Sections 4</b>	3.4 Is the Partner (s) level of involvement and participation in the project satisfactory and relevant (if applicable)?	5
<b>Section 1.6</b>	3.5 Are project risks properly identified and described,, realistic, and supported by appropriate responses?	5
	<b>4. Sustainability of the Project</b>	<b>15</b>

Section in Full Application Package	Review Questions	Maximum Score
<b>Sections 2 and 1.1</b> parts 4 & 5	4.1 Is the project likely to have a tangible and lasting impact on its target groups and final beneficiaries?	5
<b>Section 2</b>	4.2 Is the proposal likely to have multiplier effects? (Including scope for replication, extension and information sharing.)	5
<b>Section 2</b>	4.3 Are the expected results and investments of the proposed project sustainable?:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- financially (<i>how will the activities be financed after the funding ends?</i>)</li> <li>- institutionally (<i>will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the project? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the project?</i>)</li> <li>- at policy level (where applicable) (<i>what will be the structural impact of the project - e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?</i>)</li> <li>- environmentally (if applicable) (<i>will the project have a negative/positive environmental impact?</i>)</li> </ul>	5
	<b>5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the Project</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Annex III, Annex I, Annex II</b>	5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget?	5
<b>Annex III, Section 5, Annex II</b>	5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory?	5
<b>Annex III, Section 5</b>	5.3 Does the counterpart funding meet minimum requirements (25%)? Is it clearly stipulated? Does it cover staff, equipment, administrative and office costs sufficiently?	5
	<b>Maximum total score</b>	<b>100</b>